

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,402		12/05/2001	Alan G. Wood	2825.10US (90-0051.12) 1641		
24247	7590	05/18/2006		EXAMINER		
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550				KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2829		
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N′			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~~~			
	10/005,402	WOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communica 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 is/are withdrawn to	rom consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $8$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	?1(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	<u> </u>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	)			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2405, 0905, 1005, 020	. =	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Art Unit: 2829

Claims 6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and/or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 21, 2006.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no original disclosure for the second rigid support.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbett it al in view of Elder et al. Corbett et al show that claimed except that the apparatus is for test of die instead of wafers. Elder et al teach that what can be used to test die can be used to test a wafer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted the apparatus of Corbett et al to test wafers as taught by Elder et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

May 15, 2006

ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER